arXiv:1302.3458v1 [math.DG] 14 Feb 2013

On a Class of Finsler Metrics of Scalar Flag Curvature

Guojun Yang

Abstract

We have shown that the Beltrami Theorem in Riemannian geometry is still true for square metrics if the dimension $n \geq 3$, namely, an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional square metric is locally projectively flat if and only if it is of scalar flag curvature. In this paper, we go on with the study of the Beltrami Theorem for a larger class of (α, β)-metrics $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ including square metrics, where $\phi(s)$ is determined by a family of known ODEs satisfied by projectively flat (α, β)-metrics. For this class, we prove that the Beltrami Theorem holds if β is closed, and in particular, we prove that β must be closed for a subclass with $\phi(s)$ being a polynomial of degree two. Further, we obtain the local and in part the global classifications to those metrics of scalar flag curvature.

Keywords: (α, β)-Metric, Scalar Flag Curvature, Projectively Flat, Closed Manifold
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C60, 53B40, 53A20

1 Introduction

It is the Hilbert's Fourth Problem to study and classify locally projectively flat metrics. The Beltrami Theorem in Riemannian geometry states that a Riemannian metric is locally projectively flat if and only if it is of constant sectional curvature. For Finsler metrics, the flag curvature is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry, and every two-dimensional Finsler metric must be of scalar flag curvature. It is known that every locally projectively flat Finsler metric is of scalar flag curvature. However, the converse is not true. For example, Randers metrics of constant flag curvature are not necessarily locally projectively flat ([2]). Therefore, it is a natural problem to study and classify Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature. This problem is far from being solved for general Finsler metrics. Thus we shall investigate some special classes of Finsler metrics. Recent studies on this problem are concentrated on Randers metrics and square metrics.

Randers metrics are among the simplest Finsler metrics in the following form

$$F = \alpha + \beta,$$

where α is a Riemannian metric and β is a 1-form satisfying $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$. After many mathematician's efforts ([1] [8]–[11] [19]), Bao-Robles-Shen finally classify Randers metrics of constant flag curvature by using the navigation method ([2]). Further, Shen-Yildirim classify Randers metrics of weakly isotropic flag curvature ([18]). There are Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature which are neither of weakly isotropic flag curvature nor locally projectively flat ([3] [14]). So far, the problem of classifying Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature still remains open.

Recently, square metrics have been shown to have many special geometric properties. A square metric is defined in the following form

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha},$$

where α is a Riemannian metric and β is a 1-form with $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$. In [17], Shen-Yildirim determine the local structure of all locally projectively flat square metrics of constant flag curvature. L. Zhou shows that a square metric of constant flag curvature must be locally projectively flat ([26]). Later on, the present author and Z. Shen further prove that a square metric in dimension $n \geq 3$ is of scalar flag curvature if and only if it is locally projectively flat, and they also classify closed manifolds with a square metric of scalar flag curvature in dimension $n \geq 3$ ([15]).

In this paper, we extend the class of square metrics to a larger class which is determined by the ODE (1). Then we show the local and global structures of those metrics which are of scalar flag curvature in dimension $n \geq 3$.

Now we state our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric on an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional manifold M, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is Riemannian and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form, and $\phi(s)$ satisfies $\phi(0) = 1$ and the following ODE*

$$\big\{1 + (k_1 + k_3)s^2 + k_2s^4\big\}\phi''(s) = (k_1 + k_2s^2)\big\{\phi(s) - s\phi'(s)\big\}, \tag{1}$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3 are constant with $k_2 \neq k_1k_3$. Assume β is closed. Then F is of scalar flag curvature if and only if F is locally projectively flat.

The ODE (1) appears in characterizing an (α, β)-metric which is Douglasian or locally projectively flat ([5] [13] [20]). The scalar flag curvature $\mathbf{K}$ in Theorem 1.1 can be determined (see (24) below).

If $k_2 = k_1k_3$, then F is of Randers type. We call an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$ of Randers type, if $\phi(s) = a_1s + \sqrt{1 + ks^2}$ for some constants a_1, k. A metric of Randers type is essentially a Randers metric if $k > -1/b^2$. As shown above, it is still an open problem to classify Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature.

When $k_1 = 2, k_2 = 0, k_3 = -3$ and $\phi'(0) = 2$, we get $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$, which is called a square metric. In this case, the conclusion in Theorem 1.1 is still true without the condition that β is closed (see [15], also see Theorem 1.3 below), and by (24) below we have

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\alpha}{F^2}\Big\{[\lambda + \tau^2(5 + 4b^2)]\alpha + (\frac{\eta}{2} - 3\tau^2)\beta\Big\}, \tag{2}$$

which is also obtained in [15]. Theorem 1.1 might be also true without the condition that β is closed, but it seems hard to be proved.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first characterize the metric F in Theorem 1.1 which are of scalar flag curvature in terms of the covariant derivatives $b_{i|j}$ and the Riemann curvature $\bar{R}^i{}_k$ of α (see Theorem 3.1 below). Then Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Theorem 3.1. We also show that the inverse of Theorem 3.1 is true (see Theorem 3.2 below). Besides, in Section 5 below, we use Theorem 3.1 to give the classification for an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ with $\phi(s)$ satisfying (1) which is locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature (see Corollary 5.1 below) (cf. [6] [21]).

More important is that, based on Theorem 3.1, we can use the deformation determined by (60)–(63) to obtain some rigidity results (see Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 below). We will prove in Section 4 that, under the deformation determined by (60)–(63) (cf. [24] [25]), if α and β satisfy (20)–(23) below, then $h = h_\mu$ is a Riemann metric of constant sectional curvature (put as μ) and ρ is a closed 1-form which is conformal with respect to h_μ. Put $h_\mu = \sqrt{h_{ij}y^iy^j}$, and the covariant derivatives $p_{i|j}$ of $\rho = p_iy^i$ with respect to h_μ satisfy

$$p_{i|j} = -2ch_{ij} \tag{3}$$

for some scalar function $c = c(x)$ (see (66) below). Let ∇c be the gradient of c with respect to h_μ, and then

$$\delta := \sqrt{\|\nabla c\|^2_{h_\mu} + \mu c^2}, \quad (\mu > 0), \tag{4}$$

is a constant (Lemma 6.1 below). We need c and δ in the following two theorems.

Now if we assume the manifold M is compact without boundary, then we have the following rigidity theorem based on Theorem 3.1 and the deformation (63).

Theorem 1.2 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric on an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional compact manifold M without boundary, where $\phi(s)$ satisfies (1) with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $k_2 \neq k_1k_3$.*

(i) *Suppose F is of constant flag curvature and β is closed. Assume*

$$2 + (2k_1 - a_1^2)b^2 > 0, \quad (a_1 := \phi'(0)), \tag{5}$$

on the whole M if F is essentially a square metric. Then $F = \alpha$ is Riemannian, or F is locally Minkowskian. In the latter case, F is flat-parallel (α is flat and β is parallel with respect to α).

(ii) *Suppose F is of scalar flag curvature and β is closed (or equivalently, F is locally projectively flat). Then one of the following cases holds:*

(iia) *If $\mu < 0$ for h in (3), then $F = \alpha$ $(= h_\mu)$ is Riemannian.*

(iib) *If $\mu = 0$ for h in (3), then F is flat-parallel.*

(iic) *If $\mu > 0$ for h in (3), then α and β can be written as*

$$\alpha^2 = u^{-1}\big[h_\mu^2 - 4(\mu w)^{-2} v c_0^2\big], \quad \beta = 2(\mu w)^{-1} c_0, \tag{6}$$

where c is given by (3), and $c_i := c_{x^i}, c_0 := c_i y^i$, and $u = u(b^2), v = v(b^2), w = w(b^2)$ are determined properly by (60)–(62) below (for example, by (72) below). Further, the scalar flag curvature $\mathbf{K}$ satisfies (24) below with

$$\tau = -2uw^{-1}c, \quad \lambda = 4w^{-2}u\big\{v - k_1(2 + k_2b^2)u\big\}c^2 + \mu u. \tag{7}$$

It seems difficult to evaluate the lower and upper bounds of the $\mathbf{K}$ in Theorem 1.2(iic). But in a special case for a square metric $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$, it is shown in [15] that $\mathbf{K}$ satisfies

$$16\,\mathbf{K} = (\delta^2\mu^{-1} + \mu/4)\mu^3\Big[(1 + s)(\delta^2\mu^{-1} + \mu/4 - c^2)\Big]^{-3}, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\big(\sqrt{4\delta^2 + \mu^2} - 2\delta\big)^3}{\mu\sqrt{4\delta^2 + \mu^2}} \leq \mathbf{K} \leq \frac{\big(\sqrt{4\delta^2 + \mu^2} + 2\delta\big)^3}{\mu\sqrt{4\delta^2 + \mu^2}}. \tag{9}$$

Similarly, Theorem 1.2 might be also true without the condition that β is closed. For a special case that $\phi(s) = 1 + a_1 s + \epsilon s^2$ is a polynomial of degree two, we can prove that β is closed, which is shown in the following theorem (we may put $\epsilon = \pm 1$).

Theorem 1.3 *Let $F = \alpha + a_1\beta/\alpha + \epsilon\beta^2/\alpha$ be an (α, β)-metric on an n-dimensional manifold M, where a_1 is a constant and $\epsilon = \pm 1$.*

(i) *For $n \geq 3$, F is of scalar flag curvature if and only if F is locally projectively flat. In this case, (20)–(25) below hold with $k_1 = 2\epsilon, k_2 = 0, k_3 = -3\epsilon$.*

(ii) *Let M be compact without boundary. If F is of constant flag curvature, then the conclusions in Theorem 1.2(i) hold for $n \geq 2$; and if F is of scalar flag curvature, then the conclusions in Theorem 1.2(ii) hold for $n \geq 3$. Further for a suitable choice of u, v, w, (6) can be written as*

$$\alpha = 4\mu^{-1}(\mu/4 + \epsilon\delta^2\mu^{-1} - \epsilon c^2)h_\mu, \quad \beta = 4\mu^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mu/4 + \epsilon\delta^2\mu^{-1} - \epsilon c^2}\; c_0, \tag{10}$$

and $\mathbf{K}$ *in Theorem 1.2(iic) has the form*

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{6\mu(a_1^2 - 4\epsilon)(1 - \epsilon s^2)^2 c^2 + (\mu^2 + 4\epsilon\delta^2)(a_1\epsilon s^3 + 6\epsilon s^2 + 3a_1 s + 2)(1 + a_1 s + \epsilon s^2)}{128\mu^{-2}(\mu/4 + \epsilon\delta^2\mu^{-1} - \epsilon c^2)^3(1 + a_1 s + \epsilon s^2)^4}. \tag{11}$$

For a square metric $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$, we have $a_1 = 2, \epsilon = 1$, and then (11) becomes (8) and $\mathbf{K}$ is bounded by (9). In other cases, $\mathbf{K}$ might not have positive lower bound.

The metric in Theorem 1.3 can be equivalently considered as a subclass of $\phi(s)$ determined by (1) with k_1, k_2, k_3 satisfying certain relation (see Remark 7.6 below).

It might be true that β is closed for a regular (α, β)-metric (not of Randers type) of scalar flag curvature in dimension $n \geq 3$. But it seems hard to be proved. On the other hand, if an (α, β)-metric F is singular with $\phi(0) = 0$ or $\phi(0)$ not defined, β is generally not closed even if F is locally projectively flat or of constant flag curvature (cf. [16] [22] [23]). We conjecture that an (α, β)-metric (regular or singular, and not of Randers type or Kropina type) must be locally projectively flat if it is of scalar flag curvature in dimension $n \geq 3$.

2 Preliminaries

In local coordinates, the geodesics of a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ are characterized by

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i(x, \frac{dx^i}{dt}) = 0,$$

where

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4} g^{il}\big\{[F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l}\big\}. \tag{12}$$

For a Finsler metric F, the Riemann curvature $R_y = R^i{}_k(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^k$ is defined by

$$R^i{}_k := 2\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k} - y^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial x^j \partial y^k} + 2G^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^k}. \tag{13}$$

The Ricci curvature is the trace of the Riemann curvature, $\mathbf{Ric} := R^m{}_m$.

A Finsler metric F is said to be projectively flat in U, if there is a local coordinate system (U, x^i) such that $G^i = Py^i$, where $P = P(x, y)$ is called the projective factor.

In projective geometry, the Weyl curvature and the Douglas curvature play a very important role. We first introduce their definitions. Put

$$A^i{}_k := R^i{}_k - R\delta^i_k, \quad R := \frac{R^m{}_m}{n-1}.$$

Then the Weyl curvature $W^i{}_k$ are defined by

$$W^i{}_k := A^i{}_k - \frac{1}{n+1}\frac{\partial A^m{}_k}{\partial y^m} y^i. \tag{14}$$

The Douglas curvature $D_h{}^i{}_{jk}$ are defined by

$$D_h{}^i{}_{jk} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^h \partial y^j \partial y^k}\big(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} G^m_m y^i\big), \quad G^m_m := \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m}.$$

The Weyl curvature and the Douglas curvature both are projectively invariant. A Finsler metric is called a Douglas metric if $D_h{}^i{}_{jk} = 0$. A Finsler metric is of scalar flag curvature if and only if $W^i{}_k = 0$. It is known that a Finsler metric with the dimension $n \geq 3$ is locally projectively flat if and only if $W^i{}_k = 0$ and $D_h{}^i{}_{jk} = 0$ ([7]).

Now for the computation of (α, β)-metrics, we first show some definitions and conventions. For a Riemannian $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij} y^i y^j}$ and a 1-form $\beta = b_i y^i$, let

$$r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}), \quad r^i{}_j := a^{ik} r_{kj}, \quad s^i{}_j := a^{ik} s_{kj},$$

$$q_{ij} := r_{im} s^m{}_j, \quad t_{ij} := s_{im} s^m{}_j, \quad r_j := b^i r_{ij}, \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij},$$

$$q_j := b^i q_{ij}, \quad r_j := b^i r_{ij}, \quad t_j := b^i t_{ij},$$

where we define $b^i := a^{ij} b_j$, (a^{ij}) is the inverse of (a_{ij}), and $\nabla \beta = b_{i|j} y^i dx^j$ denotes the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α. Here are some of our conventions in the whole paper. For a general tensor T_{ij} as an example, we define $T_{i0} := T_{ij} y^j$ and $T_{00} := T_{ij} y^i y^j$, etc. We use a_{ij} to raise or lower the indices of a tensor.

An (α, β)-metric is a Finsler metrics defined by a Riemann metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x) y^i y^j}$ and a 1-form $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ as follows:

$$F = \alpha \phi(s), \quad s = \beta / \alpha,$$

where $\phi(s) > 0$ is a C^∞ function on $(-b_o, b_o)$. It is proved in [12] that an (α, β)-metric is regular if and only if

$$\phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad (|s| \leq b < b_o). \tag{15}$$

In this paper, an (α, β)-metric is always assumed to be regular.

Let $F = \alpha \phi(s), s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β)-metric, and then by (12), the spray coefficients G^i of F are given by

$$G^i = G^i_\alpha + \alpha Q s^i_0 + \alpha^{-1} \Theta(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) y^i + \Psi(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) b^i, \tag{16}$$

where G^i_α denote the spray coefficents of α and

$$Q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \quad \Theta := \frac{Q - sQ'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Psi := \frac{Q'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Delta := 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2)Q'.$$

For a general (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(s), s = \beta/\alpha$, we can use Maple programs, by aid of (16), (13) and (14), to compute its Riemann curvature $R^i{}_k$, Ricci curvature **Ric** and Weyl curvature $W^i{}_k$. But these expressions are too complicated. One can see the very long expressions of the Rimann curvature and Ricci curvature in [4]. So for the briefness in this paper, we won't write out the expression of the Weyl curvature for the (α, β)-metrics discussed in our paper.

We can show some details in the computation of the Weyl curvature $W^i{}_k$ for an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(s), s = \beta/\alpha$. The spray G^i of F can be written in the form

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + P y^i + Q^i = \widetilde{G}^i + P y^i, \quad \widetilde{G}^i := G^i_\alpha + Q^i,$$

where

$$P := \alpha^{-1}\Theta(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}), \quad Q^i := \alpha Q s^i_0 + \Psi(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00})b^i.$$

$\widetilde{G}^i$ define a spray $\widetilde{G}$ which is projectively equivaent to the spray G of F. The Weyl curvature of $\tilde{G}$ is defined in the same way. Q^i also define a tensor Q. Since the Weyl curvature is projectively invariant, we have $W^i{}_k = \widetilde{W}^i_k$, and then we can change to the computation of $\widetilde{W}^i_k$ for $\widetilde{G}$. First we have

$$\widetilde{R}^i{}_k = \bar{R}^i{}_k + H^i{}_k, \quad \widetilde{R} = R + H,$$

where

$$H^i{}_k := 2Q^i{}_{|k} - Q^i{}_{|j\cdot k}y^j + 2Q^jQ^i{}_{\cdot j\cdot k} - Q^i{}_{\cdot j}Q^j{}_{\cdot k}, \quad H := \frac{H^m{}_m}{n-1}. \tag{17}$$

where $Q^i{}_{|k}$ are the covariant derivatives of Q with respect to the spray G_α of α. We have

$$\widetilde{A}^i{}_k = \widetilde{R}^i{}_k - \widetilde{R}\delta^i_k = \bar{A}^i{}_k + H^i{}_k - H\delta^i_k.$$

Thus we get

$$W^i{}_k = \widetilde{W}^i_k = \widetilde{A}^i{}_k - \frac{1}{n+1}\frac{\partial \widetilde{A}^m{}_k}{\partial y^m}y^i = \bar{W}^i{}_k + \Theta^i{}_k,$$

where

$$\Theta^i{}_k := (H^i{}_k - H\delta^i_k) - \frac{1}{n+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^m}\big(H^m_k - H\delta^m_k\big)y^i. \tag{18}$$

As seen from above, we can use (17) and (18) to compute the Weyl curvature $W^i{}_k$. In our final result, we are mainly concerned about the computation of the following terms

$$r_{ij}, \quad r_{ij|k}, \quad q_{ij}, \quad t_{ij}, \quad s_{ij}.$$

We show some ideas in dealing with the equation $W^i{}_k = 0$. We first multiply $W^i{}_k = 0$ by some suitable term and then it can be written in the following form

$$f_0(s) + f_1(s)\alpha + f_2(s)\alpha^2 + \cdots + f_m(s)\alpha^m = 0, \tag{19}$$

where $f_i(s)$'s are polynomials of s with coefficients being homogenous polynomials in (y^i). The key point is to choose some suitable polynomials in s to divide our equations, and then get some answers by isolating rational and irrational terms.

3 Scalar Flag Curvature

In this section, we study the properties of some class of (α, β)-metrics which are of scalar flag curvature. We have the following two Theorems.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric on an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional manifold M, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is Riemannian and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form, and $\phi(s)$ satisfies (1) with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $k_2 \neq k_1k_3$. Assume β is closed. Then F is of scalar flag curvature if and only if the Riemann curvature $\bar{R}^i{}_k$ of α and the covariant derivatives $b_{i|j}$ of β with respect to α satisfy the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i|j} &= \tau\big\{(1 + k_1b^2)a_{ij} + (k_2b^2 + k_3)b_ib_j\big\}, \quad (b^2 := ||\beta||^2_\alpha), && (20)\\ \bar{R}^i{}_k &= \lambda(\alpha^2\delta^i_k - y^iy_k) + \eta\big(\beta^2\delta^i_k + \alpha^2b^ib_k - \beta b^iy_k - \beta b_ky^i\big), && (21)\\ \tau_{x^i} &= qb_i, && (22) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(x), \tau = \tau(x)$ are scalar functions on M and η, q are defined by

$$\eta := \big\{k_1^2 + k_2 - 2k_1k_3 - k_1(k_2 - k_1^2)b^2\big\}\tau^2 + k_1\lambda, \quad q := (k_3 - 2k_1 - k_1^2b^2)\tau^2 - \lambda. \tag{23}$$

In this case, F is locally projectively flat, and the scalar flag curvature $\mathbf{K}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 32\phi^2\mathbf{K} &= f\phi'\phi^{-1}\Big\{\big[24f\phi^{-1}\phi' + (3 - 3f + hs^2)^2s^{-3}b^2 - 16hs\big]\tau^2 + 16\lambda s\Big\} \\ &\quad + \big[8(2ghs^2 + 12f - 3g^2) - g(3 + hs^2 - 3f)^2s^{-2}b^2\big]s^{-2}\tau^2 - 16\lambda g, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where f, g, h are defined by

$$f := 1 + (k_1 + k_3)s^2 + k_2s^4, \quad g := k_2s^4 - k_1s^2 - 2, \quad h := 3k_2s^2 - k_1 + 3k_3. \tag{25}$$

If $\tau = 0$ in (20), we have $\beta = 0$ and α is of constant sectional curvature, or $\beta \neq 0$ and α is flat ($\lambda = \eta = \tau = q = 0$). Further, λ and τ in (20)–(22) can also be determined (see (66), (68) and (80) below). The inverse of Theorem 3.1 is also true, which is shown as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric on an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional manifold M, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is Riemannian and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form, and $\phi(0) = 1$. Assume F is not of Randers type, β is not parallel with respect to α. Then $\phi(s)$ must satisfy (1), provided that F is of scalar flag curvature and (20)–(23) hold with $k_2 \neq k_1k_3$.*

3.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We will deal with the equation ${W^i}_k = 0$ step by step. By the method described in Section 2, we get a formula for ${W^i}_k$ which is expressed in terms of the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α and the Riemann curvature of α.

Since F in Theorem 3.1 is regular, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric, where $\phi(s)$ is given by (1). Then we have*

$$1 + k_1b^2 > 0, \quad 1 + (k_1 + k_3)b^2 + k_2b^4 > 0.$$

Since β is assumed to be closed, we have $s_{ij} = 0, q_{ij} = 0, t_{ij} = 0$. Plugging them into ${W^i}_k$ and multiplying ${W^i}_k = 0$ by

$$4(n^2 - 1)\big[\phi - s\phi' + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\big]^5\alpha^4$$

and we get an equation denoted by $Eq_0 = 0$. By (1) we can get $\phi^{(i)}$ $(2 \leq i \leq 5)$ expressed by ϕ, ϕ'. Plug them into $Eq_0 = 0$ and then multiply $Eq_0 = 0$ by

$$\big[1 + (k_1 + k_3)s^2 + k_2s^4\big]^5.$$

By this way, we have

$$4(\phi - s\phi')^5 Eq_1 = 0.$$

It is surprising that Eq_1 is independent of ϕ and by $Eq_1 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 24(n-2)(k_2 - k_1k_3)^3(\alpha^2b_k - \beta y_k)y^i\beta^3\big[\alpha^4 + (k_1 + k_3)\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_2\beta^4\big]^2r_{00}^2 \\ &\quad + C^i_k\big[(1 + k_1b^2)\alpha^2 + (k_2b^2 + k_3)\beta^2\big], \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where C^i_k are homogeneous polynomials in (y^i).

Lemma 3.4 *$(1+k_1b^2)\alpha^2+(k_2b^2+k_3)\beta^2$ cannot be divided by $\alpha^2 b_k-\beta y_k$ for all k.*

Proof : Otherwise, for some scalar functions $f_k=f_k(x)$ we have

$$\alpha^2 b_k-\beta y_k=f_k\big[(1+k_1b^2)\alpha^2+(k_2b^2+k_3)\beta^2\big].$$

Then we have

$$b^2\alpha^2-\beta^2=f\big[(1+k_1b^2)\alpha^2+(k_2b^2+k_3)\beta^2\big],\quad f:=b^kf_k,$$

which implies $1+k_1b^2+(k_2b^2+k_3)b^2=0$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 3.3. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.5 *$(1+k_1b^2)\alpha^2+(k_2b^2+k_3)\beta^2$ cannot be divided by $\alpha^4+(k_1+k_3)\alpha^2\beta^2+k_2\beta^4$, provided that $k_2\neq k_1k_3$.*

Proof : We can prove it in two cases: $k_2b^2+k_3=0$ and $k_2b^2+k_3\neq 0$. We need Lemma 3.3 and the fact $k_2\neq k_1k_3$. Q.E.D.

By (26), Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 we have (20) for some scalar function $\tau=\tau(x)$. Then by (20) we can obtain the expressions of the following quantities:

$$r_{00},\ r_i,\ r^m_m,\ r,\ r_{00|0},\ r_{0|0},\ r_{00|k},\ r_{k0|m},\ r_{k|0},\ etc.$$

For example, we have

$$r_{0|0}=\big[1+(k_1+k_3)b^2+k_2b^4\big]\Big\{\big[(1+k_1b^2)\alpha^2+(2k_1+3k_3+5k_2b^2)\beta^2\big]\tau^2+\tau_0\beta\Big\}.$$

Now plug all the above quantities into (26) and then we can get the Weyl curvature $\bar W_{ik}:=a_{im}\bar W^m_{\ k}$ of α. We will discuss it under two cases.

Case I: Assume $k_1\neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar W_{ik}\ =\ & \frac{k_1}{n-1}b^m\omega_m(\alpha^2a_{ik}-y_iy_k)-\frac{(k_1+k_2b^2)\omega_0-k_2b^m\omega_m\beta}{n-1}\,\beta a_{ik}\\ &+\frac{1}{n-1}\Big\{\frac{k_1+k_2b^2}{n+1}\big[(2n-1)\beta\omega_k-(n-2)\omega_0b_k\big]-k_2b^m\omega_m\beta b_k\Big\}y_i\\ &+\omega_0b_i(k_1y_k+k_2\beta b_k)-(k_1\alpha^2+k_2\beta^2)b_i\omega_k,\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

where $\tau_i:=\tau_{x^i}$ and

$$\omega_i:=\tau_i+\Big(k_1+k_3+k_2b^2-\frac{k_2}{k_1}\Big)\tau^2b_i.\tag{28}$$

Lemma 3.6 *(27) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (21) and (22), where q is defined by*

$$q:=-\frac{\eta}{k_1}-\big(k_1+k_3+k_2b^2-\frac{k_2}{k_1}\big)\tau^2.\tag{29}$$

Proof: $\Longrightarrow$ **:** By the definition of the Weyl curvature $\bar W_{ik}$ of α we have

$$\bar W_{ik}=\bar R_{ik}-\frac{1}{n-1}\bar{R}ic_{00}a_{ik}+\frac{1}{n-1}\bar{R}ic_{k0}y_i,\tag{30}$$

where $\bar R_{ik}:=a_{im}\bar R^m_{\ k}$ and $\bar{R}ic_{ik}$ denote the Ricci tensor of α. Using the fact $\bar R_{ik}=\bar R_{ki}$ we get from (30)

$$\bar W_{ik}-\bar W_{ki}=\frac{1}{n-1}\big(\bar{R}ic_{k0}y_i-\bar{R}ic_{i0}y_k\big).\tag{31}$$

By (27) we can get another expression of $\bar{W}_{ik}-\bar{W}_{ki}$. Thus by (27) and (31) we have

$$T_iy_k-T_ky_i+(n^2-1)(k_1\alpha^2+k_2\beta^2)(\omega_ib_k-\omega_kb_i)=0, \tag{32}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned} T_i: &= (n+1)\bar{R}ic_{i0}-(2n-1)(k_1+k_2b^2)\beta\omega_i \\ &\quad +\big\{[(n^2+n-3)k_1+(n-2)k_2b^2]\omega_0+(n+1)k_2b^m\omega_m\beta\big\}b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting (32) by y^k we get

$$\big[T_i+(n^2-1)k_1(\omega_i\beta-\omega_0b_i)\big]\alpha^2-T_0y_i+(n^2-1)k_2\beta^2(\omega_i\beta-\omega_0b_i)=0. \tag{33}$$

Contracting (33) by b^i we obtain

$$\big[b^mT_m+(n^2-1)k_1(b^m\omega_m\beta-b^2\omega_0)\big]\alpha^2+\big[(n^2-1)k_2\beta(b^m\omega_m\beta-b^2\omega_0)-T_0\big]\beta=0. \tag{34}$$

So by (34) there is some scalar function $\bar{\eta}=\bar{\eta}(x)$ such that

$$T_0=(n^2-1)k_2\beta(b^m\omega_m\beta-b^2\omega_0)+(n+1)\bar{\eta}\alpha^2. \tag{35}$$

Then by the definition of T_i and (35) we have

$$\bar{R}ic_{00} = \bar{\eta}\alpha^2-(n-2)\big[(k_1+k_2b^2)\omega_0-k_2b^m\omega_m\beta\big]\beta, \tag{36}$$

$$\bar{R}ic_{i0} = \bar{\eta}y_i-(n-2)\Big\{\frac{k_1+k_2b^2}{2}(\beta\omega_i+b_i\omega_0)-k_2b^m\omega_m\beta b_i\Big\}. \tag{37}$$

Now plugging (36) and (37) into (33) yields

$$2(n+1)k_2A_i\beta+B_i\alpha^2=0, \tag{38}$$

where A_i and B_i are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_i: &= (b^2\omega_0-b^m\omega_m\beta)y_i+\beta^2\omega_i-\beta\omega_0b_i, \\ B_i: &= k_2\big\{\big[2(n+1)b^m\omega_m\beta-(n-2)b^2\omega_0\big]b_i-(n+4)b^2\beta\omega_i\big\}+(n-2)k_1(\beta\omega_i-\omega_0b_i). \end{aligned}$$

If $k_2=0$, then by (38) we have

$$\beta\omega_i-\omega_0b_i=0. \tag{39}$$

If $k_2\neq 0$, then by (38) we have

$$A_i=f_i\alpha^2, \tag{40}$$

where $f_i=f_i(x)$ are scalar functions. Contracting (40) by y^i we get

$$f_i=b^2\omega_i-b^m\omega_mb_i \tag{41}$$

Plugging (40) and (41) into (38) gives

$$(n-2)(k_1+k_2b^2)(\beta\omega_i-\omega_0b_i)\alpha^2=0. \tag{42}$$

If $\tau=0$, we can naturally find λ,η,q such that (20)–(22) hold. So we may assume $\tau\neq 0$, and then by (1), we have $b^2\neq$ *constant*. So by (42) we also get (39). Thus it follows from (39) that

$$\omega_i=eb_i, \tag{43}$$

for some scalar function $e = e(x)$. Now plugging (36), (37) and (43) into (27) and (30) we obtain

$$\bar{R}_{ik} = \lambda(\alpha^2 a_{ik} - y^i y_k) + \eta\big(\beta^2 a_{ik} + \alpha^2 b_i b_k - \beta b_i y_k - \beta b_k y_i\big), \tag{44}$$

where we define

$$\lambda := \frac{k_1 e b^2 + \bar{\eta}}{n-1}, \quad \eta := -k_1 e. \tag{45}$$

Clearly, (44) is just (21). It follows from (28), (43) and (45) that

$$\tau_i = q b_i = \Big\{ -\frac{\eta}{k_1} - \big(k_1 + k_3 + k_2 b^2 - \frac{k_2}{k_1}\big)\tau^2 \Big\} b_i, \tag{46}$$

which implies (22) with q given by (29).

$\Longleftarrow$ **:** We verify that both sides of (27) are equal. By (21) we have (36) and (37). Since (30) naturally holds, we plug (36), (37) and (21) into (30) and then we obtain the left side of (27). By (22) and (29) we get

$$\omega_i = e b_i = -\frac{\eta}{k_1} \tag{47}$$

from (28). Then plugging (47) into the right side of (27) we obtain the result equal to the left side of (27). Q.E.D.

Now we show that the metric F in Theorem 3.1 is locally projectively flat if F is of scalar flag curvature with β being closed and the dimension $n \geq 3$. First, we have the vanishing Weyl curvature $W^i{}_k = 0$ since F is of scalar flag curvature. Second, by (20) F is a Douglas metric ([5]). Therefore it follows from the result in [7] that F is locally projectively flat.

In the final we compute the scalar flag curvature and prove that η, q are given by (23). As shown above, we have (20)–(22) since F is of scalar flag curvature. Plug (1) and (20)–(22) into the Riemann curvature $R^i{}_k$ of F, where q is defined by (29), and then a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} R^i{}_k &= K F^2(\delta^i_k - F^{-1} y^i F_{y^k}) + \frac{\phi'(sF_{y^k} - \phi b_k)}{k_1 \phi^2(\phi - s\phi')} \times \\ &\quad \big[\eta - \big\{k_1^2 + k_2 - 2k_1k_3 - k_1(k_2 - k_1^2)b^2\big\}\tau^2 - k_1\lambda\big] F y^i, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the expression of $K = K(x, y)$ is omitted. Since F is of scalar flag curvature and $n \geq 3$, by (48) we must have

$$\eta - \big\{k_1^2 + k_2 - 2k_1k_3 - k_1(k_2 - k_1^2)b^2\big\}\tau^2 - k_1\lambda = 0. \tag{49}$$

Thus we get η given by (23). Plug η given by (23) into K, and then we obtain the scalar flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = K$ given by (24). By (29) and η in (23), we get q given by (23).

Case II: Assume $k_1 = 0$. Then $k_2 \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{W}_{ik}}{k_2} &= \frac{(\tau^2 + b^m\tau_m)\beta^2 - b^2(\beta\tau_0 + \tau^2\alpha^2)}{n-1}\delta_{ik} + (\tau_0 b_k - \beta\tau_k)\beta b_i + \tau^2(\alpha^2 b_k - \beta y_k) b_i \\ &\quad + \frac{\big[(2n-1)\beta\tau_k - (n-2)\tau_0 b_k\big]b^2 + (n+1)\big[b^2\tau^2 y_k - (\tau^2 + b^m\tau_m)\beta b_k\big]}{n^2-1}\, y_i. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Lemma 3.7 *(50) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (21) and (22), where $q = q(x)$ is some scalar function and $\eta = k_2\tau^2$.*

Proof : We only show the final results of the necessity. Assume (50). By a similar steps as in Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i &= qb_i, && (51)\\ \bar{R}_{ik} &= \lambda(\alpha^2 a_{ik} - y^i y_k) + k_2\tau^2\big(\beta^2 a_{ik} + \alpha^2 b_i b_k - \beta b_i y_k - \beta b_k y_i\big), && (52)\end{aligned}$$

where $q = q(x), \lambda = \lambda(x)$ are scalar functions. By (52), η in (21) is given by $\eta = k_2\tau^2$, which is equal to the η in (23) with $k_1 = 0$. Q.E.D.

Now we compute the Riemann curvature ${R^i}_k$ of F in this case and prove that η are given by (23) with $k_1 = 0$. By (1) and (20)–(22) with $\eta = k_2\tau^2$, a direct computation gives

$$R^i{}_k = KF^2(\delta^i_k - F^{-1}y^i F_{y^k}) - \frac{\phi'(sF_{y^k} - \phi b_k)}{\phi^2(\phi - s\phi')}(\lambda + q - k_3\tau^2)Fy^i, \tag{53}$$

where the expression of $K = K(x,y)$ is omitted. Since F is of scalar flag curvature and $n \geq 3$, by (53) we must have

$$\lambda + q - k_3\tau^2 = 0. \tag{54}$$

Thus we get q given by (23) with $k_1 = 0$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

We will show that $\phi(s)$ must satisfy (1) by ${W^i}_k = 0$ if (20)–(23) hold with $k_2 \neq k_1 k_3$, $\tau \neq 0$ and $\phi(s) \neq a_1 s + \sqrt{1 + ks^2}$ for any constants a_1, k.

To determine ϕ by ${W^i}_k = 0$, we need to choose a special coordinate system at a point on M as that in [12]. At a fixed point x_o, make a change of coordinates: $(s, y^A) \mapsto (y^1, y^A)$ by

$$y^1 = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}, \quad y^A = y^A,$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \sqrt{\sum_{A=2}^n (y^A)^2}$. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{b}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}, \quad \beta = \frac{bs}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}.$$

Using (30), plug (20)–(23) into the Weyl curvature ${W^i}_k$ of F, and then multiplying them by

$$4(n^2 - 1)\alpha^4\big[\phi - s\phi' + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\big],$$

we get a family of ODEs about ϕ. Here we only consider $W^A_B = 0$. Under the above coordinate system (s, y^A), $W^A_B = 0$ can be written in the form

$$(n+1)b^2\bar{\alpha}^2\big[\phi - s\phi' + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\big]Y_1\delta_{AB} + Y_2 y_A y_B = 0,$$

where Y_1, Y_2 are two ODEs about ϕ independent of (y_A). Since $n \geq 3$, we have $Y_1 = 0, Y_2 = 0$. We only need to consider $Y_1 = 0$, which is a regular ODE of order four with the leading term

$$2\tau^2\big[1 + k_1 b^2 + (k_3 + k_2 b^2)s^2\big]^3(\phi - s\phi')^2\big[\phi - s\phi' + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\big]\phi^{(4)}.$$

Plug the Taylor expansion

$$\phi = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i s^i + o(s^6)$$

into $Y_1 = 0$, and let p_i be the coefficients of s^i in Y_1. By $p_0 = 0$ we have

$$f\tau^2 + 4\lambda(1 + 2a_2 b^2)^3(2a_2 - k_1) = 0, \tag{55}$$

where we omit the expression of $f = f(x)$.

Case I: Assume $a_2 = k_1/2$. By (55) we have

$$(3k_1^3 + 2k_1^2 k_3 - 2k_1 k_2 + 24a_4 k_1 - 54a_3^2)b^2 + 3k_1^2 - 2k_2 + 2k_1 k_3 + 24a_4 = 0. \tag{56}$$

By (1) with $\tau \neq 0$ we have $b^2 \neq$ *constant*. So by (56), we easily get $a_3 = 0$. Since the ϕ determined by (1) satisfies

$$\phi(0) = 1, \quad \phi''(0) = k_1, \quad \phi'''(0) = 0,$$

(1) is the unique solution to $Y_1 = 0$ by the uniqueness of solution for regular ODEs.

Case II: Assume $a_2 \neq k_1/2$. Solving λ from (55) and plugging it into $Y_1 = 0$ we get a regular ODE denoted by $Y_3 = 0$. We will show that this case will not occur.

Solving λ from (55) and plugging it into $p_1 = 0$, using $b \neq$ *constant* we obtain

$$a_5 = \frac{a_3(62a_2^2 - 36k_1 a_2 + 8k_3 a_2 - 12a_4 - 5k_1 k_3 + k_1^2 + k_2)}{20(k_1 - 2a_2)}, \tag{57}$$

and $a_3 = 0$ or

$$a_4 = \frac{9a_3^2}{44(2a_2 - k_1)} - \frac{a_2^2}{2} + \frac{a_2(k_3 - k_1)}{66} + \frac{k_1(k_1 - 7k_3)}{132} + \frac{k_2}{22}. \tag{58}$$

Case II(1): Assume $a_3 = 0$. Solving λ from (55) and plugging it into $p_2 = 0$, using $b \neq$ *constant* and $a_2 \neq k_1/2$ we obtain from $a_3 = 0$ and (57)

$$a_4 = -\frac{a_2^2}{2}, \quad a_6 = -\frac{a_2^2 k_3 + 3a_2^3 + 11a_2 a_4 + 2a_4 k_3}{5}. \tag{59}$$

In this case, we can verify that

$$\phi(s) = a_1 s + \sqrt{1 + 2a_2 s^2}$$

is the unique solution of $Y_3 = 0$. This contradicts with our assumption on ϕ.

Case II(2): Assume $a_3 \neq 0$. Solving λ from (55) and plugging it into $p_1 = 0$, by (57) and (58) we obtain

$$a_3^2 = \frac{(k_1 - 2a_2)(2a_2 k_3 - 2a_2 k_1 + k_1^2 + 8k_2 - 9k_1 k_3)}{189},$$

and $k_1 = k_3$ or

$$a_2 = \frac{k_1^2 - 11k_1 k_3 + 10k_2}{2(k_3 - k_1)}.$$

In both cases, we will immediately show that $k_2 = k_1 k_3$, which is a contradiction with our assumption. Q.E.D.

4 Deformations on (α, β)-metrics

In Theorem 3.1, (20)–(23) are necessary and sufficient conditions for an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional (α, β)-metric which is not of Randers type satisfying (1) to be locally projectively flat. In [13], Shen gives another characterization, and then in [24] [25], Yu finds a deformation to obtain the local structure based on Shen's result. In this section, we will give the local structure using (20)–(23) by a similar deformation.

Let $u = u(t), v = v(t), w = w(t)$ satisfy the following ODEs:

$$u' = \frac{v - k_1 u}{1 + (k_1 + k_3)t + k_2 t^2}, \tag{60}$$

$$v' = \frac{u(k_2 u - k_3 v - 2k_1 v) + 2v^2}{u[1 + (k_1 + k_3)t + k_2 t^2]}, \tag{61}$$

$$w' = \frac{w(3v - k_3 u - 2k_1 u)}{2u\big[1 + (k_1 + k_3)t + k_2 t^2\big]}. \tag{62}$$

Let α and β satisfy (20)–(23), and define a new Riemann metric $h = \sqrt{h_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ and a new 1-form $\rho = p_i(x)y^i$ by

$$h := \sqrt{u\alpha^2 + v\beta^2}, \quad \rho := w\beta, \tag{63}$$

where $u = u(b^2) \neq 0, v = v(b^2), w = w(b^2) \neq 0$ which are determined by (60)–(62). We will show in the following that h is of constant sectional curvature and ρ is a closed 1-form which is conformal with respect to h.

Put

$$p := ||\rho||_h.$$

We have

$$p^2 = \frac{w^2 b^2}{u + vb^2}. \tag{64}$$

By (20), a direct computation shows that the sprays G^i_h of h and G^i_α of α satisfy

$$G^i_h = G^i_\alpha + \tau\Big\{\frac{1}{2}(k_1\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)b^i - \frac{(k_1 u - v)\beta}{u} y^i\Big\}, \tag{65}$$

By (20) and (65) we can directly get

$$p_{i|j} = \frac{w\tau}{u} h_{ij} \ (= -2c h_{ij}), \tag{66}$$

where the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to h, and the scalar function $c = c(x)$ can be determined (see (80) below). Now (66) implies that ρ is a closed conformal 1-form with respect to h.

By (65) and using (20)–(23), we obtain

$$\widetilde{R}^i{}_k = \frac{\lambda u + (k_1^2 u b^2 + 2k_1 u - v)\tau^2}{u^2} (h^2 \delta^i_k - y^i \widetilde{y}_k), \tag{67}$$

where $\widetilde{R}^i{}_k$ are the Riemann curvatures of h and $\widetilde{y}_k := h_{km} y^m$. It follows from (67) that h is of constant sectional curvature. We put it as μ, and then we obtain

$$\lambda = \mu u - \frac{k_1 u(2 + k_1 b^2) - v}{u} \ \tau^2. \tag{68}$$

In some local coordinate system we may put $h = h_\mu$ in the form

$$h_\mu = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\mu|x|^2)|y|^2 - \mu\langle x, y\rangle^2}}{1+\mu|x|^2}, \tag{69}$$

and then by (66) and (69) we obtain the 1-form $\rho = p_i y^i$ given by

$$p_i = \frac{(k - \mu\langle a, x\rangle)x^i + (1+\mu|x|^2)a^i}{(1+\mu|x|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad p^i = \sqrt{1+\mu|x|^2}(kx^i + a^i). \tag{70}$$

where k is a constant and $a = (a^i)$ is a constant vector, and $p_i = h_{im}p^m$. By (70) we have

$$p^2 = ||\rho||_h^2 = |a|^2 + \frac{k^2|x|^2 + 2k\langle a, x\rangle - \mu\langle a, x\rangle^2}{1+\mu|x|^2}. \tag{71}$$

If we choose a triple (u, v, w) determined by (60)–(62), then by the above discussion, we can obtain the local expressions of α and β by (63).

Remark 4.1 *We can have different suitable choices of u, v, w satisfying (60)–(62). For a square metric $F = (\alpha+\beta)^2/\alpha$, the triple (u, v, w) can be chosen as ([15])*

$$u = (1-b^2)^2, \quad v = 0, \quad w = \sqrt{1-b^2}.$$

For the general case in Theorem 3.1, we may choose the triple (u, v, w) as ([24] [25])

$$u = e^{2\sigma}, \quad v = (k_1 + k_3 + k_2 b^2)u, \quad w = \sqrt{1 + (k_1+k_3)b^2 + k_2 b^4}\ e^{\sigma}, \tag{72}$$

where σ is defined by

$$2\sigma := \int_0^{b^2} \frac{k_2 t + k_3}{1 + (k_1+k_3)t + k_2 t^2} dt.$$

5 Constant flag curvature

In this section, we consider the classification in Theorem 3.1 when F is of constant flag curvature. The following corollary has been proved in [6] [21] in a different way.

Corollary 5.1 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ be an (α, β)-metric on an $n(\geq 2)$-dimensional manifold M, where $\phi(s)$ satisfies (1) with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $k_2 \neq k_1 k_3$. Suppose F is locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature K and β is not parallel with respect to α. Then F must be in the following form*

$$F = \frac{(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2} + \epsilon\beta)^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}}, \tag{73}$$

where k and $\epsilon \neq 0$ are constant. In this case, we have $K = 0$ and $k = k_1 - \phi'(0)^2/2$.

Proof : We can use Theorem 3.1 to prove it in case of $n \geq 3$, and for the case $n = 2$, see [21]. Since F is of constant flag curvature, $\mathbf{K}$ given by (24) is a constant. Put

$$\phi(s) = 1 + a_1 s + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i s^i.$$

Then by (1), we can express all a_i's ($i \geq 2$) in terms of k_1, k_2, k_3. Multiply (24) by ϕ^2 and we get an equation. Let p_i be the coefficients of s^i. Firstly, by $p_0 = 0$, we get

$$K = \lambda + \big(k_1^2 b^2 + k_1 + \frac{3}{4} a_1^2\big)\tau^2. \tag{74}$$

We show $a_1 \neq 0$. If $a_1 = 0$, then plugging $a_1 = 0$ and (74) into $p_2 = 0$ yields

$$12\tau^2(k_2 - k_1 k_3) = 0.$$

Since $k_2 \neq k_1 k_3$, we get $\tau = 0$ on the whole M. Thus by (20), β is parallel with respect to α. So $a_1 \neq 0$. Now substitute (74) into $p_1 = 0$ and then using $a_1 \neq 0$ we obtain

$$\lambda = -(k_1^2 b^2 + k_3 + 2a_1^2)\tau^2. \tag{75}$$

Next plugging (74) and (75) into $p_3 = 0$ and using $a_1 \neq 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$ we get

$$k_2 = -a_1^4 + \frac{3}{5}(k_1 - k_3)a_1^2 + \frac{1}{5}(k_1 k_3 + 2k_1^2 + 2k_3^2). \tag{76}$$

Then similarly, by (74)–(76) and $p_4 = 0$ we have

$$k_3 = k_1 - a_1^2,\ k_1 - \frac{5}{4}a_1^2,\ -k_1 + \frac{10}{3}a_1^2.$$

If

$$k_3 = -k_1 + \frac{10}{3}a_1^2,$$

then plugging (74)–(76) and k_3 into $p_5 = 0$ yields

$$k_1 = \frac{5}{12}a_1^2,\ \frac{13}{6}a_1^2,\ \frac{55}{24}a_1^2.$$

It can be easily verify that if

$$k_3 = k_1 - a_1^2, \quad \text{or} \quad k_3 = -k_1 + \frac{10}{3}a_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 = \frac{5}{12}a_1^2,\ \frac{13}{6}a_1^2,$$

then we have $k_2 = k_1 k_3$. Therefore, we have

$$k_3 = k_1 - \frac{5}{4}a_1^2, \quad \text{or} \quad k_3 = -k_1 + \frac{10}{3}a_1^2 \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 = \frac{55}{24}a_1^2. \tag{77}$$

The second case in (77) is a special case of the first case in (77). So by (76) and (77), we have

$$k_2 = \frac{3}{8}a_1^4 - \frac{5}{4}k_1 a_1^2 + k_1^2, \quad k_3 = k_1 - \frac{5}{4}a_1^2. \tag{78}$$

Now by (74), (75) and (78) we get $K = 0$. Plug (78) into (1) and solving the ODE we obtain (73) with

$$k := k_1 - a_1^2/2, \quad \epsilon := a_1/2.$$

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *([15]) Let* $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^iy^j}$ *be an* n*-dimensional Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature* μ *and* $\beta = b_iy^i$ *is a 1-form on* M*. If* β *satisfies*

$$r_{ij} = -2ca_{ij}, \tag{79}$$

where $c = c(x)$ *is a scalar function on* M*, then the quantity*

$$|\nabla c|^2_\alpha + \mu c^2$$

is a constant in case of $n \geq 3$*, where* ∇c *is the gradient of* c *with respect to* α*. If* $n \geq 2$ *and* M *is compact without boundary, then* $c = 0$ *if* $\mu < 0$ *and* $c = constant$ *if* $\mu = 0$*.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2 :

Case I: In case of $n \geq 3$, suppose F is of constant flag curvature and β is closed. Then F is locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature by Theorem 1.1.

If $\tau = 0$ in (20) on the whole M, then β is parallel and α is of constant sectional curvature on M. So if α is flat, then F is flat-parallel; if α is of non-zero sectional curvature, then $\beta = 0$ on M and so $F = \alpha$ is Riemannian.

If $\tau \neq 0$ at a point on M, then by the proof in Corollary 5.1, we have $a_1 := \phi'(0) \neq 0$, and

$$\phi(s) = \frac{(\sqrt{1+ks^2} + \epsilon s)^2}{\sqrt{1+ks^2}},$$

and then F is given by (73). If (5) holds, then $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}$ is a (positive definite) Riemann metric. So F in (73) is a square metric which can be written as

$$F = \frac{(\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta})^2}{\widetilde{\alpha}}, \quad (\widetilde{\alpha} := \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}, \ \ \widetilde{\beta} := \epsilon\beta).$$

It has been proven in [15] that a square metric is Riemannian or flat-parallel if it is of constant flag curvature on a closed manifold.

Case II: In case of $n \geq 3$, suppose F is of scalar flag curvature and β is closed (or equivalently, F is locally projectively flat).

Under the deformation (63), where $u = u(b^2), v = v(b^2), w = w(b^2)$ satisfy the ODE (60)–(62), we have proved that h is of constant sectional curvature μ and ρ satisfies (66) for some $c = c(x)$ and then (3) holds.

Let h take the local form (69). Now c in (66) can be expressed as

$$c = \frac{-k + \mu\langle a, x\rangle}{2\sqrt{1+\mu|x|^2}}, \tag{80}$$

where k, a are the same as that in (70) and (71).

Case IIA: Assume $\mu < 0$. We have $c = 0$ by Lemma 6.1. By (80), we have $k = 0, a = 0$. Then by (70) we get $\rho = 0$. Thus (63) shows $\beta = 0$. Therefore, $F = \alpha$ is Riemannian in this case.

Case IIB: Assume $\mu = 0$. We have $c = -k/2 = constant$. We will show that $k = 0$. Assume $k \neq 0$. Since α, β are defined on the whole M, (63) defines a Riemann metric h and a 1-form ρ on M for a suitable choice of u, v, w. For example, we may choose u, v, w as in (72) here. Therefore, the scalar function $||\rho||^2_h$ is defined on the whole M. Then by (71) and $\mu = 0$, the scalar function $f := ||\rho||_h^2$ is globally defined on M, and f can be locally expressed as

$$f = k^2|x|^2 + 2k\langle a, x\rangle + |a|^2.$$

Since $\mu = 0$, we have

$$f_{|i|j} = f_{x^i x^j} = 2k^2\delta_{ij} = 2k^2 h_{ij},$$

where the covariant derivatives are taken with respect to h. By the above we have

$$\Delta f = 2k^2 n,$$

where Δ is the Laplacian of h. Integrating the above on M yields

$$0 = \int_M \Delta f \; dV_h = \int_M 2k^2 n \; dV_h = 2k^2 n \; Vol_h(M).$$

Obviously it is a contradiction since $k \neq 0$. So we have $k = 0$. Then by (71) we have $p^2 = ||\rho||_h^2$ is a constant on M, and thus by (64) we have $b^2 = constant$ on M. Now by (63) we get

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{u}h^2 - \frac{v}{uw^2}\rho^2, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{w}\rho. \tag{81}$$

Thus (81) shows that α is flat and β is parallel with respect to α, since locally α and β are independent of $x \in M$, which follows from the fact that $u = u(b^2), v = v(b^2), w = w(b^2)$ are constant and locally $h = |y|$ and $\rho = \langle a, y\rangle$.

Case IIC: Assume $\mu > 0$. By (70) and (80), we can easily rewrite $\rho = p_0$ as

$$\rho = \frac{2}{\mu}\; c_0, \tag{82}$$

where $c_i := c_{x^i}, c_0 := c_i y^i$. Now by (81) and (82) we easily get (6). Finally, it follows from (66) and then from (68)that τ and λ are given by (7). Q.E.D.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3. In the following discussion, we assume $\epsilon = 1$. The case $\epsilon = -1$ is similar.

Note that (5) naturally holds if $F = \alpha + a_1\beta/\alpha + \epsilon\beta^2/\alpha$ is a square metric since in this case we have $a_1 = \pm 2, \epsilon = 1, k_1 = 2$. Then the conclusion in Theorem 1.3(ii) follows from Theorem 1.2(i) when F is of constant flag curvature for $n \geq 3$. In fact, when F is of constant flag curvature for $n \geq 2$, Theorem 1.3(ii) follows directly from [15] if F is a square metric, and form [4] if F is not a square metric.

Since $\phi(s) = 1 + a_1 s + \epsilon s^2$ is a solution of (1), by Theorem 3.1, in the following proof we only need to show that β is closed when $F = \alpha + a_1\beta + \epsilon\beta^2/\alpha$ is of scalar flag curvature in case of $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 7.1 *β is closed* $\Longleftrightarrow t_{ij} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow t^k{}_k = 0$.

Lemma 7.2 *[15] For a scalar function $c = c(x)$, there does not hold*

$$\alpha b_k - s y_k \equiv 0 \quad mod \;\; (s + c)$$

for all k.

Now we begin our discussion. We will prove our results in two cases: $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_1 = 0$.

Case I: Assume $a_1 \neq 0$.

We may assume $a_1 > 0$. Multiply ${W^i}_k = 0$ by

$$(n^2-1)(1-s^2)^4(1+2b^2-3s^2)^5\alpha^4$$

and we get an equation which is denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} Eq_1: \quad = \quad & 648(n-2)(1+s)^4(1-s)^4s^3(\alpha b_k - sy_k)y^i\big[(s^2-1)r_{00} + 2(a_1+2s)\alpha s_0\big]^2 \\ & + C^i_k(1+2b^2-3s^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where C^i_k can be written in the form (19).

Lemma 7.3 *Suppose*

$$(s^2-1)r_{00} + 2(a_1+2s)\alpha s_0 \equiv 0 \quad mod \ \ (1+2b^2-3s^2). \tag{84}$$

Then we have

$$r_{00} = \tau\alpha^2(1+2b^2-3s^2), \qquad s_0 = 0, \tag{85}$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function.

Proof: Eq. (85) implies that there are f_0, f_1, f_2 satisfying

$$\alpha^2\big[(s^2-1)r_{00} + 2(a_1+2s)\alpha s_0\big] = (f_0 + f_1\alpha + f_2\alpha^2)\alpha^2(1+2b^2-3s^2),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2a_1s_0 - f_1 - 2f_1b^2)\alpha^3 + 3f_1\beta^2\alpha = 0,$$

$$-(1+2b^2)f_2\alpha^4 + (4\beta s_0 - r_{00} - f_0 - 2b^2f_0 + 3f_2\beta^2)\alpha^2 + \beta^2(3f_0 + r_{00}) = 0.$$

Now by the above two equations, we can easily show that (85) holds. Q.E.D.

Now we have (85) by (83), Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.3. Then by (85) we can obtain the expressions of the following quantities:

$$r_{00},\ r_i,\ r^m_m,\ r,\ r_{00|0},\ r_{0|0},\ r_{00|k},\ r_{k0|m},\ r_{k|0},\ s_{k|m},\ t_k,\ q_{km},\ q_k,\ b^mq_{0m},\ etc.$$

For example, we have

$$r_{00|0} = (1+2b^2-3s^2)\tau_0\alpha^2 - 2s(1+8b^2-9s^2)\tau^2\alpha^3, \quad \tau_i := \tau_{x^i},$$

and

$$s_{k|m} = 0, \quad t_k = 0, \quad q_{km} = \tau(1+2b^2)s_{km}, \quad q_{00} = 0, \quad q_k = 0, \quad b^mq_{0m} = 0.$$

Plug all the above quantities into (83) and then multiplied by $1/(1+2b^2-3s^2)^5$ the equation (83) can be written as

$$Eq_2 := D^i_k(1-s) + 12(n+1)(a_1+2)^2\alpha^2(\alpha b_k - y_k)y^it_{00} = 0, \tag{86}$$

where D^i_k can be written in the form (19). Similarly by (86) we obtain

$$t_{00} = \gamma(\alpha^2-\beta^2), \tag{87}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ is a scalar function. Then by (87) we have

$$t_{i0} = \gamma(y_i - \beta b_i), \quad t^m_m = \gamma(n - b^2). \tag{88}$$

Plug (87) and (88) into (86) and then multiplied by $1/(1 - s)$ the equation (86) is written as

$$Eq_3 = 0. \tag{89}$$

It follows from

$$Eq_3 \equiv 0 \quad mod\ (1 - s)$$

that

$$3(n - 1)s_{i0}s_{k0} + \gamma(\alpha b_k - y_k)\big[(n - 1)\alpha b_i - (3 + b^2)y_i\big] \equiv 0 \quad mod\ (1 - s). \tag{90}$$

The following lemma has been proved in [15]. Now we have proved that β is closed.

Lemma 7.4 *Suppose (90) holds for some scalar $\gamma = \gamma(x)$. Then β is closed.*

Case II: Assume $a_1 = 0$. In this case, there are some different steps from that in Case I.

Multiply ${W^i}_k = 0$ by

$$(n^2 - 1)(1 - s^2)^4(1 + 2b^2 - 3s^2)^5\alpha^4$$

and firstly we get (83) with $a_1 = 0$, and then we have (84) with $a_1 = 0$. By a similar proof as that in Lemma 7.3 we obtain

$$r_{00} = \tau\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2 - 3s^2) + \frac{6}{1 - b^2}\beta s_0, \tag{91}$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function. Likely, by (91) we can obtain the expressions of the following quantities:

$$r_{00},\ r_i,\ r^m_m,\ r,\ r_{00|0},\ r_{0|0},\ r_{00|k},\ r_{k0|m},\ r_{k|0},\ s_{k|m},\ t_k,\ q_{km},\ q_k,\ b^m q_{0m},\ etc.$$

Plug all the above quantities into (83) with $a_1 = 0$, and then multiplied by $(1 - b^2)^3/(1 + 2b^2 - 3s^2)^5$ the equation (83) with $a_1 = 0$ can be written as

$$D^i_k(1 - s) + 48(n + 1)(1 - b^2)^2\alpha^2(\alpha b_k - y_k)y^i\big[(1 - b^2)t_{00} - s_0^2\big] = 0, \tag{92}$$

where D^i_k can be written in the form (19). Then by (92) we have

$$(1 - b^2)t_{00} - s_0^2 \equiv 0 \quad mod\ (1 - s). \tag{93}$$

Now by (93) we easily get

$$t_{00} = \gamma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + \frac{s_0^2}{1 - b^2}, \tag{94}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ is a scalar function. It follows from (94) that

$$t_{k0} = \gamma(y_k - \beta b_k) + \frac{s_k s_0}{1 - b^2}, \quad t^m_m = \gamma(n - 2b^2), \quad t_k = (1 - b^2)\gamma b_k, \quad s_m s^m = -b^2(1 - b^2)\gamma. \tag{95}$$

Plug (94) and (95) into (92) and then multiplied by $1/(1 - s^2)$ the equation (92) is written as

$$A^i_k(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + B^i_k = 0, \tag{96}$$

where A^i_k and B^i_k are polynomials in (y^i). Contracting (96) by $b_i b^k$ we obtain

$$X\alpha^2-\beta^2\big[6(1-b^2)^3\gamma\beta^2-2(n^2-3n+5)(1-b^2)s_0^2+X\big]=0,\quad (X:=-A^i_k b_i b^k), \tag{97}$$

By (97), there is a scalar function $\xi=\xi(x)$ such that $X=\xi\beta^2$, and then plugging it into (97) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\alpha^2-\beta^2)-6(1-b^2)^3\gamma\beta^2+2(n^2-3n+5)(1-b^2)s_0^2 &= 0, && (98)\\ \xi(a_{ij}-b_ib_j)-6(1-b^2)^3\gamma b_ib_j+2(n^2-3n+5)(1-b^2)s_is_j &= 0. && (99)\end{aligned}$$

Summing (99) over the indices i,j and using the expression of s_ms^m in (95) we obtain

$$\xi(n-b^2)-2b^2((1-b^2)^2(n^2-3n+8-3b^2)\gamma=0. \tag{100}$$

Contracting (99) by b^ib^j gives

$$\xi=6b^2(1-b^2)^2\gamma. \tag{101}$$

Substitute (101) into (100) and we have

$$2(n-2)(n-4)b^2(1-b^2)^2\gamma=0. \tag{102}$$

(1). If $n\neq 4$, then by (102) we have $\gamma=0$. In this case, by $\gamma=0$ and (98) we have $s_0=0$ and then by (94) we get $t_{00}=0$. Therefore, it shows β is closed by Lemma 7.1.

(2). If $n=4$, then plugging $n=4$ and (101) into (98) shows

$$(1-b^2)\gamma(b^2\alpha^2-\beta^2)+3s_0^2=0. \tag{103}$$

Clearly by (103) we have $s_0=0,\gamma=0$, and then again by (94) we get $t_{00}=0$. Therefore, β is closed by Lemma 7.1.

Finally, we show (10) and (11). Since $\phi(s)=1+a_1s+\epsilon s^2$, we have $k_1=2\epsilon, k_2=0, k_3=-3\epsilon$ in (60)–(62). So in this case, we may choose

$$u=(1-\epsilon b^2)^2,\ v=0,\ w=\sqrt{1-\epsilon b^2}. \tag{104}$$

Then by (104), (64), (71) and (80) we have

$$p^2=\frac{4(\delta^2-\mu c^2)}{\mu^2},\quad b^2=\frac{p^2}{1+\epsilon p^2}=\frac{4(\delta^2-\mu c^2)}{\mu^2+4\epsilon(\delta^2-\mu c^2)}, \tag{105}$$

where δ is defined by (4) and δ is a constant by Lemma 6.1. Now by (104) and (105) we obtain (10) from (6), and using (104), (105) and $k_1=2\epsilon, k_2=0, k_3=-3\epsilon$, we first get λ,τ by (7) and then we obtain (11) from (24). Q.E.D.

Remark 7.5 *Under the choice of u,v,w as in (104), we can evaluate c and β/α. Assume $\mu>0$ and we only show the case $\epsilon=-1$. Note that $F=\alpha+a_1\beta/\alpha-\beta^2/\alpha$ is a (regular) Finsler metric if and only if $b^2<1/2$. So we have*

$$\frac{2}{3}<1-p^2=\frac{1}{1+b^2}\le 1. \tag{106}$$

By (106) we get

$$\frac{2}{3}<1-p^2=\frac{\mu^2-4\delta^2+4\mu c^2}{\mu^2}\le 1 \tag{107}$$

Then (107) shows

$$\frac{\delta^2}{\mu} - \frac{\mu}{12} < c^2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{\mu}. \tag{108}$$

Now by (10) we obtain

$$|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{\delta^2 - \mu c^2}}{\mu^2 - 4\delta^2 + 4\mu c^2} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{109}$$

Remark 7.6 *For the parameters* k_1, k_2, k_3 *in (1) satisfying certain equations,* $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ *can be essentially considered as the metric in Theorem 1.3. For example, if* k_1, k_2, k_3 *satisfy (78), then we get (73) which can be considered as a square metric if* $k > -1/b^2$ *in (73). If* $k_2 = (2k_1 + 3k_3)(3k_1 + 2k_3)/25$, *then* $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ *can be a regular* (α, β)*-metric in the form* $F = \widetilde{\alpha} \pm \widetilde{\beta}^2/\widetilde{\alpha}$, *where we define* $\widetilde{\alpha} := \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}, \widetilde{\beta} := \epsilon\beta$ *with* k, ϵ *being constant satisfying* $k > -1/b^2$. *A two-dimensional* (α, β)*-metric* $F = \alpha \pm \beta^2/\alpha$ *can be locally projectively flat with* β *being not closed ([20]).*

Acknowledgement:

The author expresses his sincere thanks to China Scholarship Council for its funding support. This job was done during the period (June 2012–June 2013) when he as a postdoctoral researcher visited Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, USA.

References

[1] D. Bao and C. Robles, *On Randers metrics of constant curvature*, Rep. Math. Phys. **51** (2003), 9-42.

[2] D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, *Zermelo navigation on Riemann manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **66** (2004), 391-449.

[3] B.Chen and L.Zhao, *A note on Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature*, Canad. Math. Bull., 55(3)(2012), 474-486.

[4] X. Cheng, Z. Shen and Y. Tian, *A class of Einstein* (α, β)*-metrics*, Israel J. of Math., Accepted.

[5] B. Li, Y. B. Shen and Z. Shen, *On a class of Douglas metrics in Finsler geometry*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, **46**(3) (2009), 355-365.

[6] B. Li and Z. Shen, *On a class of projectively fat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, International Journal of Mathematics, **18**(7) (2007), 1-12.

[7] M. Matsumoto, *Projective changes of Finsler metrics and projectively flat Finsler spaces*, Tensor, N. S. **34** (1980), 303-315.

[8] M. Matsumoto, *Randers spaces of constant curvature*, Rep. Math. Phys. **28** (1989), 249-261.

[9] M. Matsumoto and H. Shimada, *The corrected fundamental theorem on Rnaders spaces of constant curvature*, Tensor, N. S. **63**(2002), 43-47.

[10] Z. Shen, *Projectively flat Randers metrics of constant curvature*, Math. Ann. **325**(2003), 19-30.

[11] Z. Shen, *Finsler metrics with $K = 0$ and $S = 0$*, Canadian J. Math. **55**(1) (2003), 112-132.

[12] Z. Shen, *On a class of Landsberg metrics in Finsler geometry*, Canadian J. of Math., **61** (6) (2009), 1357-1374.

[13] Z. Shen, *On projectively flat (α, β)-metrics*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, **52** (1) (2009), 132-144.

[14] Z. Shen and Q. Xia, *On a class of Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature*, preprint 2012.

[15] Z. Shen and G. Yang, *On square metrics of scalar flag curvature*, preprint.

[16] Z. Shen and G. Yang, *On a class of weakly Einstein Finsler metrics*, Israel J. of Math. (To appear)

[17] Z. Shen and G. C. Yildirim, *On a class of projectively flat metrics with constant flag curvature*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, **60**(2)(2008), 443-456.

[18] Z. Shen and G. C. Yildirim, *A characterization of Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature*, preprint.

[19] H. Yasuda and H. Shimada, *On Randers spaces of scalar curvature*, Rep. Math. Phys. **11** (1977), 347-360.

[20] G. Yang, *On a class of two-dimensional Douglas and projectively flat Finsler metrics*, preprint.

[21] G. Yang, *On a class of two-dimensional projectively flat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, Acta. Math. Sin., 2013, (DOI)10.1007/s10114-013-0728-0.

[22] G. Yang, *On a class of singular Douglas and projectively flat Finsler metrics*, preprint.

[23] G. Yang, *On a class of singular projectively flat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, preprint.

[24] C. Yu, *A new class of metric deformations and their applications in Finsler geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Beijing University, (2009).

[25] C. Yu, *Deformations and Hilbert's Fourth Problem*, preprint.

[26] L. Zhou, *A local classification of a class of (α, β)-metrics with constant flag curvature*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl., **28** (2010), 170-193.

Guojun Yang
Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
Chengdu 610064, P. R. China
ygjsl2000@yahoo.com.cn